STATE OF MAINE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 2000-181

April 3, 2000

BANGOR HYDRO-ELECTRIC COMPANY Request for Approval of Amendment to Special Rate Contract with Hannaford Bros. Co. TEMPORARY ORDER

WELCH, Chairman; NUGNET and DIAMOND, Commissioners

On March 1, 2000, Bangor Hydro-Electric Company (BHE) filed with this Commission a proposed amendment to its special rate contract with Hannaford Bros. Co. The amendment generally provides that Hannaford shall pay for unbundled T&D service at the pre-amendment bundled electric price minus Hannaford's generation costs. However, BHE must agree that Hannaford's generation service was obtained diligently. If Hannaford does not exercise due diligence, BHE in its reasonable discretion must determine a reasonable market generation price. The amendment is silent on how or who determines whether Hannaford exercised due diligence.¹

BHE has submitted the contract amendment to the Commission and asks that the Commission find the amendment to be in conformance with 35-A M.R.S.A. § 3204(10) and approve the amendment pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 703(3-A). In Docket No. 2000-164, the Commission delegated its authority under 35-A M.R.S.A. § 107(4) to the Director (or Acting Director) of the Division of Technical Analysis to grant temporary approval of such agreements. Pursuant to that authority, I grant temporary approval of this amendment, subject to the conditions described below.

In a letter filed with the Commission subsequent to the contract amendment, BHE reports that Hannaford currently receives generation service under the standard offer. Because of the initial administrative setting of the standard offer price at $4.5 \, \wp$, and the upward adjustment to that price (for the medium and large non-residential standard offer classes) shortly before March 1, 2000, BHE asserts that Hannaford did not have sufficient time to obtain generation service other than the standard offer before now. In addition, BHE points out that, because of the seasonal standard offer price, it is extremely unlikely that a competitive provider would be able to offer generation service at a price much, or even any, lower than the standard offer price through May. Thus, BHE concludes that Hannaford exercised due diligence by remaining on the standard offer at the beginning of retail access.

¹ BHE's amendment to unbundle the special rate contract is unusual in that it appears that section 3204(10) contemplates the utility's due diligence determination will be made by the utility before the unbundled contract is executed, rather than after as a matter of future contract administration.

We will accept BHE's reasoning that, for at least the first two months of retail access, Hannaford exercised due diligence by remaining on the standard offer. Due diligence, however, will require Hannaford to attempt to acquire generation service at a price less than the standard offer price within 2 months of the beginning of retail access. By May 1, 2000, BHE should expect that due diligence will produce generation service at less than the standard offer, or be provided evidence that serious efforts to do so were attempted but failed. Accordingly, BHE must, by May 1, 2000, file either a new unbundled contract amendment or an explanation showing that due diligence requires Hannaford to continue taking standard offer service. Alternatively, by May 1, 2000, BHE and Hannaford may submit their dispute to the Commission. My temporary approval, therefore, shall be valid until June 1, 2000 or until the Commission approves, modifies, or resolves the dispute presented by BHE's filing due by May 1, 2000, if that Commission action occurs before June 1, 2000.

This temporary approval specifically does not approve the provision in the contract amendment that requires BHE to pay a negative T&D rate to Hannaford if Hannaford's generation service is more expensive than its bundled special contract rate. BHE asserts that this is not expected to occur because even at the standard offer rate, Hannaford will make a positive T&D contribution. Therefore, as the sentence that would require BHE to pay Hannaford to take T&D service will not be operative, I will treat the issues raised by that sentence as not ripe, and not condition my temporary approval on the removal of the sentence.

BHE does state that, although Hannaford will make a net positive contribution to BHE at standard offer rates, the contract amendment may produce a negative usage rate in the summer tail block. Depending on the generation service Hannaford acquires for the summer, this anomaly may disappear. The Commission will address this issue, if it continues to exist, when it considers approval of the contract beyond June 1, 2000.

Dated at Augusta, Maine, this 3rd day of April, 2000

BY ORDER OF ACTING DIRECTOR
OF TECHNICAL ANALYSIS

Faith Huntington	